

## DDDG Technical Subgroup Meeting 06

24 March 2026 at 11:30 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
<b>Attendees</b>	
Chris Barker [CB]	ENWL
Ed Grimsey [EG]	BUUK
Erik Baguzis [EB]	Indigo Networks
Georgia Preece [GP]	NPg
James Dorsey [JD]	Urban Chain
Karl Maryon [KM]	Drax
Sanjeev Kumar [SK]	EON
Lilly Stanislas [LS]	Ecotricity
Rachel Chalmers [RC]	OVO
Seun Adedapo [SA]	NGED
<b>Code Administrator</b>	
Furqan Aziz [FA]	Chair
Hannah Proffitt [HP]	Secretariat
<b>Apologies</b>	
Ahna Taylor [AT]	SSE
Chris Berry [CB]	SE First

### 1. Administration

#### Recording

- 1.1 The Chair reminded members that this meeting is recorded. The purpose of this recording is purely to aid the Technical Secretariat in producing an accurate report of the meeting.

## Minutes of the previous meeting

- 1.2 No comments were raised.
- 1.3 The slides presented are included as **Attachment 1**.

## 2. Review Meeting 05 Outcomes

- 2.1 The Chair noted that at the previous meeting, the subgroup agreed the MVP to be presented to the DCUSA Board at their meeting on 18 March.

## 3. Feedback from the Board on the MVP Scope

### Outcome

- 3.1 The Chair advised that they had presented the MVP to the DCUSA Board at their March meeting and it was formally approved.
- 3.2 The Chair advised that the build will commence in April with Xanda, and that the Board signalled support for phase 2 features, subject to the standard DDDG approval process.


### Design considerations

- 3.3 The Chair advised that the Board asked for clarity regarding LDNO names and raised some queries surrounding future proofing the design. The Chair noted that they would ensure these are addressed in the design.
- 3.4 The Chair added that the subgroup will remain open for visibility and ongoing updates/feedback.

### Example

- 3.5 The Chair presented the below, noting it is a basic mock-up of the dashboard, based on what was agreed at the last subgroup meeting.

**EXAMPLE**



Year	GSP	LDNO	Tariff Type	Unit rate 1 p/kWh	Unit rate 2 p/kWh	Unit rate 3 p/kWh	Fixed charge p/MPAN/day	Capacity charge	Exceeded capacity	Reactive power charge	LLFC	Profile Class
			Domestic Aggregated with Residual	10.256	2.136	2.136	14.89	5.63	3.71	0.74		
			Domestic Aggregated (Related MPAN)	10.256	2.166	2.166	0.19	14.89	3.76	0.45		
			Non-Domestic Aggregated No Residual	10.266	2.17	2.17	0.19	5.63	3.71	0.149		
			Non-Domestic Aggregated Band 1	10.256	2.17	2.17	0.181	5.63	3.71	0.101		
			Non-Domestic Aggregated Band 2	10.266	2.17	2.17	0.13	5.63	3.71	0.101		
			LV Site Specific Band 1	7.456	1.432	1.432	1.331	2.55	3.71	7.35		
			LV Site Specific SPO Residual	7.456	1.432	1.432	1.031	3.71	5.46	7.58		
			LV Site Specific Band 1	7.593	1.052	1.052	0.131	3.76	7.56	7.58		
			LV Sub Site Specific Band 1	4.763	0.633	0.633	0.37	7.58	7.38	0.149		
			LV Sub Site Specific Band 2	5.999	1.092	1.092	0.32	7.58	7.58	0.149		
			LV Sub Generation Site Spec	-1.23	-1.149	-1.149	0.38	7.58	7.58	0.149		
			LV Generation Site Specific	-5.157	1.2098	1.2098	0	1599.82	0.76			
			LV Generation Aggregated	-7.33	-3.56	-3.56	10.72	0	7.58	0.149		
			LV Sub Specific r/c Specific no RP Charge	-6.187	1.209	1.209	0.38	7.58	7.58	0.149		

- 3.6 SK asked if there would be the option to download the files as a zip file, or whether they would need to be downloaded separately. The Chair advised that this is currently undefined and therefore they can give the option of a zip file.

- 3.7 Regarding the year filter, LS asked if it would only include the previous year's final statements or the future years as well. The Chair noted that if the information is there from all providers, it can be extracted/included.
- 3.8 SK asked where the dashboard will be available. The Chair advised it would be placed on the DCUSA website, however the exact location had not been confirmed yet.

## 4. Next Steps and Timeline for Delivery

- 4.1 The Chair presented the below delivery timeline for the dashboard.

2026	
<b>March</b>	Board sign-off on MVP scope
<b>April</b>	Initial Extraction & build
<b>May</b>	MVP launch
<b>June</b>	User testing (via technical subgroup)
<b>July</b>	MVP wider-release
<b>August</b>	Start Phase 2

- 4.2 No comments were raised.

## 5. Discuss Guidance Document Contents

### What was agreed at the February Board

- 5.1 The Chair explained that at the February DCUSA Board meeting, Board members agreed that DCUSA would not publish a formal guidance document, as this sits outside of DCUSA's direct governance. The Board agreed for the subgroup to identify the standardisation concerns and to pass these on to a more appropriate group. Chris Ong agreed to pass the information on to the LC14 Statement Review Group.

### Content

- 5.2 The group discussed and agreed for the following items to be included in the guidance document content.

<b>Tariff Naming</b>	Common mislabels. What naming convention? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Avoid extra characters at the end, or spaces</li> <li>- Agree a naming convention to stick to</li> </ul>
<b>Layout</b>	What inconsistencies exist? Which DNOs do this well? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Avoid merged cells</li> <li>- Consistently update information in same cell reference</li> <li>- Annex 2 – time bands not defined consistently. Some DNOs grey it out and some delete row etc.</li> </ul>

<b>LLFC</b>	Missing / inconsistencies? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Leading zeros, need to be removed</li> </ul>
<b>Release Notes</b>	What this covers? When it should be added? Anomalies and mid-year changes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Separate tab on excel spreadsheet to explain what has changed from the last release.</li> <li>- Date, time, category (improvement, fix)</li> <li>- If tariffs have been removed or altered</li> </ul>
<b>Contact details</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Name of the team to contact for queries</li> <li>- Email address</li> <li>- Separate tab on excel worksheet for this info. Or could utilise existing tab?</li> </ul>

- 5.3 The Chair agreed to review the minutes of the previous meeting to ensure all standardisation issues raised have been included. Following this, the Secretariat agreed to circulate a draft to members for final review/feedback.

Action 06/01 – Regarding the guidance document content:

- The Chair to review previous minutes to ensure all standardisation issues raised have been included, and
- The Secretariat to circulate a paper to members for final review/feedback ahead of sending to CO.

## 6. Any Other Business

- 6.1 The Chair asked members whether there was any other business, to which nothing was raised.

## Attachments

- Attachment 1 - DDDG TSG Meeting 06 Post Meeting Slides

## New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
06/01	<p>Regarding the guidance document content:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- The Chair to review previous minutes to ensure all standardisation issues raised have been included, and</li><li>- The Secretariat to circulate a paper to members for final review/feedback ahead of sending to CO.</li></ul>	The Chair & Secretariat	<b>New action.</b>

## Closed Actions

Action Ref.			Update
04/01	The Chair to investigate the possibility of creating a page on the DCUSA website containing links to where the charging statements can be found for each DNO, and for DCUSA to maintain this.	The Chair	<b>Action closed.</b> <i>The Board agreed this was out of scope of DCUSA.</i>
04/02	The Chair to investigate the possibility of the DCUSA website hosting a list of the DNO contact emails that are included within their charging statements.	The Chair	<b>Action closed.</b> <i>The Board agreed this was out of scope of DCUSA.</i>

# DCUSA

04/03	The Chair to reach out to DNO members of the DDDG TSG to request the contact email included in their charging statements. The Chair to collate a list for the group.	The Chair	<b>Action closed.</b> <i>The Board agreed this was out of scope of DCUSA.</i>
05/01	Secretariat to add an item to the agenda of the next meeting for members to discuss the content of the guidance document.	The Secretariat	<b>Action closed.</b> <i>This was discussed. The Secretariat agreed to circulate the output of discussions to members for a final review/feedback, ahead of passing on to CO.</i>